

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 'Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/473,659	12/29/1999	MAKOTO KIKUGAWA	35.G2530	1271
5514 7590 09/23/2004 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			EXAMINER	
			PHAM, THIERRY L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2624	
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004	15

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	09/473,659	KIKUGAWA, MAKOTO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thierry L Pham	2624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/23/	04.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-51 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transformation is objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 12. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 13. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 14. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 15. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 16. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 17. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 18. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath of the oath or declar	epted or b) objected to by the ldrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to the following communication: RCE filed on 7/23/04.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masuda (U.S. 6181440), and in view of Guthrie et al (U.S. 6161185).

Regarding claim 1, Masuda discloses an apparatus for conducting a retrieval of document data, comprising:

- (1) storing means (Memory, Fig. 1) for storing the document data;
- (2) a receiver (facsimile device, Fig. 1, col. 2, lines 63-67 and col. 3, lines 1-33), for receiving from a user a request for retrieval of the document data, with the request including a password (col. 3, lines 8-33);
- (3) a transmitter (network control unit, Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 8-48), for transmitting the password, received by said receiver, to a managing unit (FAX system control unit, Fig. 1) on a network;
- (4) deciding means (FAX System control unit, Fig. 1, col. 6, lines 1-9) for, when said managing unit confirms the password transmitted by said transmitter, receiving a confirmation result from said managing unit to decide, on the basis of said confirmation result, whether or not the user is an authorized user;
- (5) retrieving means (FAX system control unit, Fig. 1, col. 6, lines 1-22) for retrieving the document data stored in said storing means according to a decision result obtained by said deciding means;
- (6) outputting means (printer unit, Fig. 1, col. 6, lines 1-9) for outputting document data retrieved by said retrieving means;

Art Unit: 2624

However, Masuda does not disclose expressly, wherein an apparatus for conducting a retrieval of document data, comprising:

- (1) issuing means for automatically issuing a new password in response to receiving from a user a request for retrieval of the document data by said receiver, wherein the user is notified of the new password if the users is authorized; and
- (2) registering means for transmitting the new password, issued by said issuing means, to said managing unit to register the new password as a valid password.

Guthrie, in the same field of endeavor for passwords protected access to documents, discloses:

- (1) issuing means for automatically (server automatically generates a new password, col. 6, lines 42-64) issuing a new password in response to receiving from a user a request for retrieval of the document data by said receiver, wherein the user is notified of the new password if the users is authorized (col. 7, lines 45-62); and
- (2) registering means (server, col. 6, lines 42-64) for transmitting (transmitting via a network, fig. 1) the new password, issued by said issuing means, to said managing unit to register the new password as a valid password.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Masuda as per teachings of Guthrie because of a following reason: to prevent print out of confidential reception images/documents (Masuda, col. 5, lines 55-65)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Masuda with Guthrie to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

Regarding claim 2, Masuda further discloses an apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said receiver includes a local receiver (control panel, Fig. 1) for receiving a request from the user, if a local user, and a remote receiver (network control unit, Fig. 1) for receiving a request sent from the user, if a remote user, through a network.

Regarding claim 3, Masuda further discloses an apparatus according to claim 2, further comprising a control panel (control panel, fig. 1, col. 3, lines 8-33) for performing a

Art Unit: 2624

predetermined display in response to a key operation by the user, wherein said local receiver receives a retrieval request and a password inputted from said control panel.

Regarding claim 4, Masuda further discloses an apparatus according to claim 2, further comprising a reader (scanner, Fig. 1), for reading an original to produce image information, wherein said local receiver receives a retrieval request and a password extracted from the image information taken by said reader.

Regarding claim 5, Masuda further discloses an apparatus according to claim 2, wherein said remote receiver receives a retrieval request and a password sent from a terminal through a network (Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 44-48).

Regarding claim 6, Masuda further discloses an apparatus according to claim 2, wherein said remote receiver a retrieval request and a password extracted from image information sent from a terminal through a network (Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 44-48).

Regarding claim 7, Masuda further discloses an apparatus according to claim 2, further comprising a facsimile receiver (facsimile device, Fig. 10 for receiving a facsimile image, wherein said remote receiver receives a document a retrieval request and a password extracted from an image which said facsimile receiver receives.

Regarding claim 8, Masuda further discloses an apparatus according to claim 2, wherein said outputting means outputs document data in a different manner (printer provided by facsimile device, Fig. 1 & 6, or transmits the documents to the information processing device, Fig. 1 & 6, col. 5, lines 9-54) in accordance with whether said receiver behaves as said local receiver or said remote receiver.

Regarding claim 9, Masuda further discloses an apparatus according to claim 8, further comprising a facsimile transmitter (facsimile device 1, Fig. 1) for conducting a facsimile transmission to a predetermined address, wherein said outputting means, when a retrieval request

Art Unit: 2624

is receive through a facsimile reception, transmits document data retrieved by said retrieving means in the form of a facsimile image to a retrieval requesting facsimile unit through the use of said facsimile transmitter.

Regarding claims 10-17 recite limitations that are similar and in the same scope of invention as to those in claims 1-9 above; therefore, claims 10-17 are rejected for the same rejection rationale/basis as described in claims 1-9.

Regarding claims 18-26: Claims 18-26 are the method claims corresponding to the apparatus claims 1-9. The methods are included by the operation of the apparatus claims. Please see claims rejection basis/rationale as described in claims 1-9 above.

Regarding claims 27-34: Claims 27-34 are the method claims corresponding to the apparatus claims 1-9. The methods are included by the operation of the apparatus claims. Please see claims rejection basis/rationale as described in claims 1-9 above.

Claims 35-51 corresponds to claims 1-9 except computer readable memory medium for storing program is claimed rather that printing system or data output apparatus. All computers/printers have some type of computer readable memory medium (Memory, fig. 1, Masuda) for storing computer programs, hence claims 35-51 would be rejected using the same rationale as in claims 1-9.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-51 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thierry L Pham whose telephone number is (703) 305-1897. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 AM - 6:00 PM).

Art Unit: 2624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David K Moore can be reached on (703)308-7452. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thierry L. Pham

W

GABRIEL GARQIA